THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.9273 of 2007

Dated 27-04-2007

Between:

V. Rama Devi

…Petitioner

And

The Regional Transport Officer and others

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.9273 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner is the owner of a Rig mounted vehicle bearing No.AP-11-C 9936. The tax for the vehicle is being paid to the Regional Transport Officer, Mancherial, Adilabad District. The vehicle met with an accident, on 24-05-2004. Petitioner states that necessary repairs and alterations were carried, at Cherlapally, Hyderabad, by M/s K.R.D. Industries, between 31-08-2004 and
07-06-2005, and thereafter, the vehicle is parked at the premises of the petitioner, at Uppal.

The petitioner claimed exemption of tax for the period during which, the vehicle had undergone repairs, and made representation to the 1st respondent. Since the vehicle was repaired, and is now parked within the jurisdiction of the Regional Transport Officer, Ranga Reddy District East, Uppal, the
2nd respondent herein, the 1st respondent addressed letter dated 02-07-2005, with a request to arrange for verification, and to send a report. Since there was no response, he repeated the requests through subsequent letters dated 08-11-2005, 17-03-2006.
27-11-2006. Till now, there is no response, and the claim of the petitioner for exemption was not attended to, by the
2nd respondent. The petitioner had approached this Court under these circumstances.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Transport.

Way back on 02-07-2005, the 1st respondent requested the 2nd respondent to verify the genuineness of the claim of the petitioner, about stoppage of Rig mounted vehicle. Almost two years have elapsed. For a simple issue of this nature, the respondents 2 and 3 cannot take such a long time. It is almost their statutory obligation, to respond to a request made by the Regional Transport Officer, of another District in the State. The petitioner is put to hardship, on account of the inaction on the part of the respondents 2 and 3.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the respondents 2 and 3 to pass necessary verification, about the claim of the petitioner, as to stoppage of the Rig mounted vehicle bearing No.AP-11-C 9936, and submit a report to the 1st respondent, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

There shall be no order as to costs.

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.27-04-2007.

KO